

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/826,718	ANDERSON, GLEN	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Phillip H. Nguyen	2191	

All Participants:

Status of Application: _____

(1) Phillip H. Nguyen. (3) _____.

(2) Aaron A. Fishman (Reg. No. 44,682). (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 4 September 2009

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

103

Claims discussed:

All independent claims

Prior art documents discussed:

Cheng et al. (6,151,643), Kawano et al. (7,316,013), and Anderson et al.(7,143,408)

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed:
During the interview, examiner discussed with the undersigned attorney the allowable subject matter. The examiner indicated to the undersigned attorney that the independent claims need to incorporate the features found in the dependent claims in order to put the claims in allowable condition. These features must be incorporated into the independent claims to further clarify the claimed invention. The applicant agreed to the proposed examiner's amendment and confirmed by the examiner on 9/10/2009. .